# WOODLOT LICENCE W1832 SITE PLAN

Woodlot Licence	V	/1832	Cutting Pe	rmit <b>C</b>		Block	1	Opening #					
Total Area (ha)	2	7.4	Net Area to Reforested	o be 23.2 (NAR) (ha)	1	Non-Productive - Natural (ha)	0.1	Non-Productive - Un-Natural (ha)	2.1				
Area of Reserve (ha	ı) <b>2</b>	.1	Type of Reserve	Uniform			Air Photo #s	BCC980	)51 #173-175				
Harvest Method	E		<ul> <li>Conventi</li> </ul>	-	d machinery. le	Feller Buncher; Ho	oe, Crawler tracto	r, or Skidder.					
Silvicultural System				with reserves – The cutblock consists predominantly of age class 5 Lodgepole Pine leading type with a minor Douglas fir, Larch, Western red Cedar and Hemlock. There is a minor veteran Fd and Lw.									
Comments:	S ha P	Mountain Pine Beetle (IBM) salvage harvest. The area of this proposal is not on an approved FDP. This application is consistent with Section 22.01 of the WLFMR that allows the expedited harvest and access of bark beetle infested timber without the area of proposed harvesting or any associated road construction being identified in an FDP. Pursuant to the explanation of intent of section 22.01 the following applies: 1. Harvesting of bark beetle infested timber" means harvesting timber that is infested with larvae or adult bark beetles or must be											
	2	The W	L holder de			k beetle infested ti tion from the FDP		FMR provides an a	utomatic exemption to				
	3.	was be	etle infest	ed a CP application	on could cover ha	alf the WL area).			on (i.e. if half the WL area				
			-			-	-	d by mountain pine l pepole pine infection	beetle. I levels approaching 80%.				
						System Comm		<u>jopolo pillo illiooloi</u>	<u></u>				
Trees to be Retained	Spe	cies	Fd, Lw	, Cw, Hw, Ep		-							
Trees to be Removed		racteristics	The fol	Future crop tree ain Pine Beetle sa lowing merchanta All Lodgepole Pi Western Larch th Stems with a hig harvesting. Stems damaged Stems that requi on the cruise com	concerns rees ure course wood es lvage harvest. ble stems will be ne and White Pin hat is heavily infe h diameter to he during harvest of re felling to prov ipilation approxim	e harvested: ne. ested with mistletor ight ratio which wil operations or stem ide machine acces nately 163 sph will	Il be highly susce s that pose a safe s within the cutbl be retained follow	ety hazard or operab ock. wing harvest. A high	degree of variation in				
Initial Basal	4	2.3 — ( bas	within t	stem per hectare and to he cutblock and to he cutblock and to he	o statistical impe Residual		•	e to the uneven ( ha ange 0 – 14 m2/ha	tural) species distribution				
Area (m²/ha)	)				Basal Area (m²/ha)	compiled cruise)							
	AR ha)	Biog	Classifica	Ecosystem ation	Regenerat	tion Method	Preferred	Species	Acceptable Species				
		Zone	Variant	Site Series	Dist		PI, Fd, Lw						
1 23	3.1	ICH	dw	01a <sup>(60%)</sup> / 01b <sup>(40%)</sup>	Planting		FI, FU, LW	Pv	v, Hw, Bg, Cw, Sxw				
Comments:				of block has rolli 01A predominant			series predomina	int in the receiving a	nd lesser sloped areas				
Elevation rai specified	nge if p	planting is	960 to 7	1050 meters									

The free growing stand will be established in accordance with the stocking specifications in the Woodlot Licence Forest Management Regulation (November, 1998) Division 2 of Part 6 and Table A of Schedule A. White Pine acceptability will be consistent with Section 83 of the Woodlot Licence Forest Management Regulation. Larch seedlings will not be planted within a 20 meter radius of residual DML infected Lw.

			PERMA	NENT ACCESS S	TRUCTI	JRES			
Block includes	panhandle access		unharveste					nese road	corridors increase the
Roads	Length 1048 m			Width 15 m			Area 1.6 ha		
Landings	Number:	3	Length	60 m	Width	20 m		Area	0.4 ha
Small Decking Areas	Number:	1	Length	40 m	Width	20 m		Area	0.1 ha
Skid/Forwarder Trails	Length N/	A		Width N/A	•		Area	N/A	
Total Harvested (ha)	Total Harvested Area 27.4 ha Total Area of Permanent 2.1 ha Maximum % of the Total Cutblock Area 8.1% to be occupied by Permanent Access (ha) Structures								
	e used for repeated manent access str	l harvest entries are uctures.	1	N/A					
Roads, landings are proposed for		arries within this cu	tblock	No					
			REF	ABILITATION ME	ASURE	S			
Describe the strue	ctures to be rehabilit	ated as well as the me	easures and	timing for rehabilitation	if the meas	sures in the WLFMR	will not be	used	
Structures	Excavated or blad	ed trails will be con	pleted as	per WLFMR.		Meas and T	Timing <sub>fo</sub>	s per WLF bllowing co arvesting.	MR and within a year ompletion of
			S	OIL DISTURBANC	E SU 1				
Maximum Pe	rcentage of the	Net Area to be	Reforest	ed to be occupied	d by Soi	I Disturbance:	10% of I	NAR	
A field assessm	ent of the cutbloc	k was conducted or	n January 2	y soil disturbance: 26, 2006 with site and icates that 10% soil o					FPC Soil
SU 1	Compaction Hazard	High	Erosior	Hazard Mo	d – 21 po	ints Displa	acement H	lazard	Low - 3 points
			FXCA		ED TRA	IIS			
Cutbanks into Mineral Soil	Maximum Ht. (cm)	90 cm	The equip	VATED OR BLAD		ILS or excavator			
into Mineral Soil	Maximum Ht. (cm) Average Ht. (cm)	90 cm 50 cm	The equip trail const	oment to be used for ruction if other than		-			
into Mineral Soil	. ,	50 cm	The equip trail const	oment to be used for ruction if other than		-			
into Mineral Soil Approximate loca • Three kno WLFMR.	Average Ht. (cm) tion where the trails wn bladed trails a	50 cm will be built re identified on the	The equip trail const excavator attached th	ment to be used for ruction if other than e site plan map and	Cat and/	nstructed in accor		n Section	62 Subsection 2 of the
Approximate loca • Three kno WLFMR. • Minor topo	Average Ht. (cm) tion where the trails wn bladed trails a graphic blading a	50 cm will be built re identified on the ssociated with rand	The equip trail const excavator attached th	ment to be used for ruction if other than he site plan map and g will be used where	Cat and/ will be co required	nstructed in accor	k.		62 Subsection 2 of the
Approximate loca • Three kno WLFMR. • Minor topo • Rehabilita	Average Ht. (cm) tion where the trails wn bladed trails a graphic blading a	50 cm will be built re identified on the ssociated with rand	The equip trail const excavator attached th	ment to be used for ruction if other than he site plan map and g will be used where in accordance to Se	Cat and/ will be co required ction 62 \$	nstructed in accor	k. e WLFMR		62 Subsection 2 of the

Selection Criteria	Cutblock is located in the Pedro Landscape unit and requires 8% Wildlife Tree Patch retention.
Level of Retention	Woodlot 1832 is covered by a "Comprehensive Plan for Wildlife Tree Retention for WL1832", revised in October 2005. Further designation of Wildlife Tree Patches is not required.

#### MEASURES FOR COARSE WOODY DEBRIS

Little CWD from the pre-1912 stand survives. Current CWD levels are unevenly distributed throughout the block and range from 1 to 20 m<sup>3</sup>/ha with a diameter range of 10cm to 30cm.

Maintenance and retention strategies are as follows:

- 1. Increase existing CWD by avoiding broadcast burning treatment and leaving all non-merchantable logs on site.
- 2. Where post-harvest CWD levels are excessive and create a fire hazard, the first option will be to scatter CWD pieces throughout the harvest site to create a more even distribution.
- 3. A second option will be to machine pile excessive CWD and burn those piles in order to reduce fire hazard.
- 4. Larger pieces of CWD should be retained as dispersed pieces rather than piled.
- 5. Reserved stems will provide a recruitment source for future CWD, as larger pieces are deficient.

The anticipated average volume per hectare of CWD will range between 5 to 40 m3/ha with piece sizes ranging from 10 to 40 cm.

#### KNOWN UNGULATE WINTER RANGE

This block is located within mule deer winter range identified by the Ministry of Environment in Fall 2005.

An ungulate winter range forest cover analysis was completed for the Woodlot based on the new UWR linework. The analysis parameters followed the ICH dw Mule Deer parameters stated in the UWR U-4-001 Order in that greater than 30 % of the forest cover polygons within the identified UWR had to be 81 years or older and have a crown closure of 40% or more.

Results are as follows:

Total UWR in the Woodlot = 405.0 hectares

Total not suitable for UWR = 114.6 hectares (including proposed harvesting of CP C).

Total suitable for UWR = 290.4 hectares (including proposed harvesting of CP C).

Total % suitable for UWR = 72%

End result = 72% of the UWR area within the Woodlot meets or exceeds the requirements stated in the UWR U-4-001 Order.

	FOREST HEALTH
Mountain pine	This cut block is designed to recover timber that is damaged or imminent risk to be damaged by mountain pine beetle.
beetle	This stand has been severely infested by mountain pine beetle since 2003 with current Lodgepole pine infection levels approaching 80%.
Measures:	IBM salvage harvest. To the extent possible all Lodgepole pine and White pine will be harvested.
	IBM infected trees within one tree length of the block boundary will also be harvested.
Root rot	No significant root rot activity observed to date.
Magauraa	

Measures:

			I	RIPARIAN MANA	GEMENT			
Riparian Class Feature	of N/A	Designation or Map	n N/A				Falling and/or Skidding or Yarding Across a Stream	No
	t Harvest I Structure	N/A						
Comments:		Two ephemeral no traffic in this area A non-classified w Reserve Area ( 0.3	on-classified v will be minimiz vetland ( 0.1ha 3 ha) and rem	zed by establishing ) is located in the oved from the NAR	entified on the attac designated machir north east part of th . Refer to the Site	ched si ne cros ne bloc Plan n	the cutblock. ite plan map. To the extent possible ssings at the time of harvest. ik. This area has been included in a nap. The RRZ boundary placement harvested cutblock.	Riparian
Trees	Species							
to be	Characteristi	cs						
Retained	Function							
Minimum	Basal	Area (m2/ha)	0	or Trees/ha	[	or	Number of Trees	
		RMZ of an S4, S5 or umbers of shade tre			to a known temperat	ture se	nsitive S1, S2, S3 or S4 stream and	No
							a marine-sensitive zone and there are of stream bank or channel stability.	No
		ent if falling and/or tream is proposed	N/A					

NON-TIMBER I	NON-TIMBER RESOURCES AND RESOURCE FEATURES IN OR ADJACENT TO THE CUTBLOCK							
Feature(s)	Measures to protect or accommodate or the reason for not protecting the feature(s)/ comments:							
Cultural heritage resources and Archaeological sites	Based on an Archaeological overview re-assessment of woodlots in arrow district, dated May 15, 1997, completed by Kutenai West Consulting Ltd., the area under this plan was not recommended for AIA because it "does not have sufficient potential for archaeological site placement".							
Visual	The cutblock is not located within a known scenic area. No further action required.							
Watershed	The cutblock is located in the Dumont Creek (class 2 watershed) and North Fork Creek (class 3 sub-basin watershed).							
	Most of the harvesting in CP C (23.1 ha of 28.1 ha total) is located in the Dumont watershed above the H60 line. The current weighted ECA in Dumont Creek is 23%. The area covered by CP C will increase the ECA by 5% to 28% total.							
	The remainder of the harvesting in CP C (5.0 ha) is located in the North Fork Creek watershed. The current ECA of North Fork Creek is at 20%. The area covered by this site plan will increase the ECA by 1% to 21% total.							
	Attached is the equivalent clearcut assessment table.							
	Due to the high IBM infection levels these ECA percentages levels will be achieved regardless of whether this block is harvested or not. These dead trees no longer transpire moisture, will quickly loose much of their snow interception and snow-shading functions, and will no longer play any role in snow melt dynamics once they fall to the ground over the next decade.							
	We will mitigate the impacts of harvesting by leaving most stable non-pine stems in the harvest area, but no human choice or action can forestall the hydrological impacts from the alterations to vegetation cover that are already well under way in this area.							
Recreation	No recreation features are present in the area of this plan							

\*\* As agreed upon with the MoF the licensee acknowledges that this Site Plan was written in less than ideal conditions and may require additional field work and/or amendment at a future date.

SIGNATURE OF WOODLOT LICENSE AUTHORIZED ON BEHALF OF THE WOO		RPF SIGNATURE AND	SEAL		
Signature	Date	(yy/mm/dd)			
SIGNATURE FOR DISTRICT MANAG	ER AP	PROVAL			
			 RPF Signature and Seal	Date	2006/02/07 (yy/mm/dd)
Signature	Date	(yy/mm/dd)	Ken Williams RPF Name (Printed)		

## Equivalent Clearcut Assessment Table for Domestic Watersheds

Watershed	Watershed	Existing Non-	Current	CP C	Proposed
Name	Area	Greened up	Weighted	Proposed	Weighed
Dumont Creek	(ha)	Area (ha)	ECA	Logging (ha)	ECA
	596	116.4	23%	23.1	28%
North Fork Creek	798	119.4	20%	5.0	21%

### Ungulate Winter Range Analysis

Summary of Area Within W1832 Crown Portion and Within Nov 23 2004 UWR Polygons						
Cover Class	Ha	% of Total UWR Area				
Non-Forested	29.0					
Forage Units	40.5					
Not Suitable for UWR						
(<= 80 yrs or <=39% CC, ICHdw)	114.6	28%				
Includes Proposed CP C Harvest Area						
Suitable for UWR	290.4	72%				
	405.0					